

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0251319 DATE: <u>3/1/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:15 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:38 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: GEM PAVER					
FACILITY LOCATION: 9845 NW 118TH V	WAY				
MEDLEY 33178	3-1043				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: gempaversjf@aol.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/14/2010 / 1/14 (effective date) (end decomposition)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: /2015	(305)805-0000 (305)970-7169			
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): JORGE FE  Brief Notes:	_	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
Is the Authorized Representative still JORGE FE If no, who is?:	RNANDEZ?				
If different, did the facility provide an administra  3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le					

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo #1 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 2/10/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?     If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?     b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?     c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		- 🔲 Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, a	and Yards	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by:	o control unconfii	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals who</li> </ul>			☐ No
control emissions?3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	l of the	⊠ Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce air particulate matter?		⊠ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop poin	t to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		- Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo #2(white cement/ctr silo)w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 2/10/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?     If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?     b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?     c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		🔲 Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and	<u>ıd Yards</u>	(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by:	control unconfi	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when</li> </ul>			☐ No
control emissions?	of the	X Yes	☐ No
particulate matter?	entrainment of	<u> </u>	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles?		X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point	to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-silo #3 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 2/10/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Tyes	□ No □ No □ No
DADTH, FIELD ODGEDWATIONG, D.L. (2.404.414/2), E.A. C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>☑</b> box for each of	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		<b>1.00</b> 501 511 7
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ol>	- 🛚 Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	· X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-silo #4 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 2/10/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Tyes	□ No □ No □ No
DADTH, FIELD ODGEDWATIONG, D.L. (2.404.414/2), E.A. C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>☑</b> box for each of	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		<b>1.00</b> 501 511 7
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ol>	- 🛚 Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	· X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-silo #5 (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one
Date of last inspection: 2/10/2010     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		No No No
DADE IL FIELD ODGEDNATIONG DI CAMO MACA EL C		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>b</b> ox for each of	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	oon for each c	question
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		_
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ol>	- X Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
<ol> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne</li> </ol>		
particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No

# Emissions Unit Section 6 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper/mixer loading w/filter subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b>	only one
		box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 2/10/2010  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?   N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
<u>PA</u>	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
Ur	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each	question)
	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		-
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	e following:	
	<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ol>	X Yes	☐ No
	control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		☐ No ☐ No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	_	_

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane		<u> &lt; 1.00</u> ?	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	□ No
_		<u> </u>		
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?  Does the owner or operator:	- 🗌	Yes	⊠ No
۷.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No
3.				□ No
	F			_

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary	rationary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each o		
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2.)				
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	□ No	
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical</li> </ul>	orior to changing location?		□ No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical	ss days following a relocation?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	Yes	□ No	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five  3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a	· -		∐ No	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose?  If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it is the content of	n that separate permit: oose (i.e, there is no repeated usage		☐ No	
co-located at the permitted facility?  If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		Yes - Yes	☐ No ☐ No	
CHANGES		(check ☑ box for each of	•	
<ul> <li>Administrative Changes:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ul>	of the facility or any emissions unistrative change at the facility?	tive not its or - Yes	⊠ No □ No	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			∇/ N	
a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?	antially different?		<ul><li>⋈ No</li><li>⋈ No</li><li>⋈ No</li><li>⋈ No</li></ul>	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio 30 days prior to the change?		mitted -	☐ No	
FRANK DELGADO	3/1/2011			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	3/2012			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection		

**COMMENTS:** JODI BECK OF SOUTH FLORIDA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PERFORMED VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE FACILITY'S FIVE (5) SILOS. THE SILOS WERE LOADED AT 8 PSI. I WITNESSED THREE VE TESTS. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TESTS.

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY. THE ENTIRE YARD IS PAVED WITH PAVERS.